UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/537,162	01/05/2006	· Naotaka Kubota	SHIGA12.001APC	1428
20995 KNODDE MAI	7590 06/21/2007 RTENS OLSON & BEAR	EXAMINER		
2040 MAIN ST	TREET	WALKE, AMANDA C		
FOURTEENTI IRVINE, CA 9		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1752	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/21/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		10/537,162	KUBOTA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Amanda C. Walke	1752			
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	this communication app	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTOR' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, F - Extensions of time may be available un after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing - If NO period for reply is specified above - Failure to reply within the set or extended Any reply received by the Office later the earned patent term adjustment. See 37	ROM THE MAILING DA der the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. date of this communication. , the maximum statutory period vertically by statute and three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) M , cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this or ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•		
Status						
 Responsive to communication This action is FINAL. Since this application is closed in accordance w 	2b)⊠ This in condition for allowar	action is non-final.	*	e merits is		
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s 5) Claim(s) is/are al 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejection 7) Claim(s) is/are of 8) Claim(s) are sub Application Papers 9) The specification is objection 10) The drawing(s) filed on _	is/are withdraw lowed. ected. ected to. ect to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r.	o by the Examiner.	·		
Applicant may not request	that any objection to the det(s) including the correct	drawing(s) be held in abey ion is required if the drawir	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	, ,		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-89) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drafts 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	wing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	v Summary (PTO-413) b(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/537,162

Art Unit: 1752

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawabe et al (6,806,022 or 6,846,610) in view of Kawakami et al (2002/0184788).

Kawabe et al disclose a method of forming a pattern employing a positive photosensitive resin composition comprising (A) a polymer which has alicyclic hydrocarbon skeletons and composes under the action of an acid to be rendered soluble in alkali, (B) a compound which generates an acid upon irradiation with actinic rays, (C) a nitrogen-containing basic compound, (D) at least one of a fluorine-containing surfactant and a silicon-containing surfactant and (E) a solvent. The composition can exhibit better characteristics when the solvent (E) is a combination of specified solvents. More specifically, the following (1) to (8) are embodiments of the present first composition, and thereby the aforementioned objects are attained. (1) A positive photosensitive resin composition comprising: (A) a polymer which has alicyclic hydrocarbon skeletons and decomposes under the action of an acid to be rendered soluble in alkali, (B) a compound which generates an acid upon irradiation with actinic rays, (C) a nitrogen-containing basic compound, and (D) at least one of a fluorine-containing surfactant and a silicon-containing surfactant. (2) A positive photosensitive resin composition comprising: (A) a polymer which has bridged alicyclic hydrocarbon skeletons and decomposes under the action of an acid to be

Art Unit: 1752

rendered soluble in alkali, (B) a compound which generates an acid upon irradiation with actinic rays, (C) a nitrogen-containing basic compound, (D) at least one of a fluorine-containing surfactant and a silicon-containing surfactant, and (E) a solvent. The resins are employed in a method comprising the steps of: coating the resin on a substrate by means of an appropriate coating apparatus, such as a spinner or a coater, performing a pre-bake (heating prior to exposure), exposing to light of wavelengths of no longer than 220 nm via the desired mask, performing a PEB (post-exposure bake), developing to provide a resist pattern, rinsing and drying. For radiation exposure of resist films after pre-bake, commercially available ultraviolet exposure apparatus, X-ray exposure apparatus, electron-beam exposure apparatus, KrF excimer exposure apparatus, ArF excimer exposure apparatus, F.sub.2 excimer exposure apparatus and so on can be employed. In particular, the exposure apparatus using ArF excimer laser as light source is advantageous to the present invention. While the references teach that a drying step is performed, no details are provided.

Kawakami et al disclose a conventional and advantageous method of treating and drying a photoresist pattern post-development and rinsing. As taught by the reference and demomnstrated in the examples, after pure water rinsing, a fluorinated alcohol fluid is substituted for the water then treated with supercritical CO2. Claims 1 and 2 of the reference teach that an additional step employing a fluorinated alcohol and a surfactant is performed prior to the CO2 treatment as described by the instant claim 5.

Given the teachings of the references, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to prepare a pattern employing the method and material of either Kawabe et al reference choosing to employ the drying method of Kawakami et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/537,162 Page 4

Art Unit: 1752

Regarding claims 9 and 10, the resultant structure of the references appears to meet these limitations. These claims are product by process claims. From the MPEP:

M.P.E.P. § 2113:

"Even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985)... "The Patent Office bears a lesser burden proof in making out a case of prima facie obviousness for product-by-process claims because of their peculiar nature" than when a product is claimed in the conventional fashion. In re Fessman, 180 USPQ 324, 326 (CCPA 1974). Once the Examiner provides a rationale tending to show that the claimed product appears to be the same or similar to that of the prior art, although produced by a different process, the burden shifts to applicant to come forward with evidence establishing an unobvious difference between the claimed product and the prior art product. In re Marosi, 218 USPQ 289, 292 (Fed. Cir. 1983).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amanda C. Walke whose telephone number is 571-272-1337. The examiner can normally be reached on M-R 5:30-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on 571-272-1526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/537,162 Page 5

Art Unit: 1752

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Amanda C Walke Primary Examiner Art Unit 1752

ACW June 15, 2007